

## **REMARKS**

Concurrently, with the filing of a Request for Continued Examination, applicants respectfully request the entry of the claim amendments dated February 28, 2008, and the reconsideration of the arguments presented with the claim amendments, in view of the following remarks.


In the Advisory Action, the Examiner alleges that applicants' arguments dated February 28, 2008, is not persuasive because applicants' arguments are based intended uses. The Examiner alleges that intended uses are not given patentable weight, and Okajima may disclose or suggest a clear film, rendering the claimed invention obvious. Applicants respectfully submit that the Examiner has misunderstood applicants' arguments. Applicants' arguments are not based on the intended use or the degree of transparency of Okajima's film. Rather, it is based on the fact that Okajima fails to disclose or suggest the claimed mixing ratio.

In the response dated February 28, 2008, applicants argued that Okajima neither discloses nor suggests an aqueous coating liquid with "a mixing ratio (A)/(B) being from 10/90 to 59.3/40.7 by weight" as claimed. Okajima fails to disclose the claimed mixing ratio (A)/(B) of 10/90 to 59.3/40.7 in its specification or its 34 working examples. Since the difference in the mixing ratio is a compositional difference and not a difference in intended use, this is a patentable distinction. Further, because Okajima does not explain that the mixing ratio reduces rainbow reflections or can be used to produce an antireflective film to be applied to the front surface of an LCD, CRT or PDP, Okajima provides no reason to modify the compositions disclosed in Okajima to obtain the claimed mixing ratio. Accordingly, Okajima fails to disclose or suggest the claimed mixing ratio. Since none of the cited references discloses or suggests the claimed mixing ratio, applicants respectfully request the pending rejections be withdrawn.

In the event that the transmittal letter is separated from this document and the Patent and Trademark Office determines that an extension and/or other relief is required, applicants petition for any required relief including extensions of time and authorize the Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to **Deposit Account No. 03-1952** referencing **427972000700**.

Dated: March 28, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By:   
S. Laura Chung  
Registration No. 59,875

Morrison & Foerster LLP  
1650 Tysons Boulevard  
Suite 400  
McLean, Virginia 22102  
Telephone: (703) 760-7312  
Facsimile: (703) 760-7777